# Honorable Chairman and Members of the Hermosa Beach Planning Commission

Regular Meeting of September 17, 2002

SUBJECT: PRECISE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT 02-13

LOCATION: 2697-2699 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY

APPLICANT: MICHAEL WUERTH

225 S. SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266

REQUEST: TO CONSTRUCT A NEW TWO-STORY COMMERCIAL OFFICE BUILDING

### Recommendation

To approve the proposed project subject to conditions in the attached resolution.

## **Background / Project Information**

• ZONING: C-3

GENERAL PLAN: General Commercial
COMBINED LOT AREA: 4,800 Square Feet
PROPOSED BUILDING AREA: 5,028 Square Feet
OFFICE SQUARE FOOTAGE: 5,028 Square Feet

• PARKING REQUIRED: 20 Spaces

• PARKING PROVIDED: 20 Spaces, Including 2 Handicapped

• ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Categorically Exempt

At the meeting of January 15, 2002, the Planning Commission approved a Precise Development Plan to allow the construction of a new two-story 6,500 square foot commercial office building.

The property, located on the west side of Pacific Coast Highway, consists of two lots under common ownership. Access to the site is also available from the alley to the rear. The site currently contains a one-story building that was previously used for an automobile repair shop.

The project is Categorically Exempt as Class 3 (c) because the project is within an urbanized area and does not exceed 10,000 square feet in floor area.

#### **Analysis**

The applicant has wholly changed and reduced the scope of the previously approved proposal in order to reduce the overall cost of the project. A Precise Development Plan Amendment approval is required pursuant to Chapter 17.58 of the Zoning Ordinance because the project as amended still exceeds 1500 square feet. The key changes from the previously approved design and the new design are parking, building layout and lot coverage, and landscaping. Staff has reviewed the new plans for compliance with the C-3 requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.

1

The newly proposed two-story building is much simpler and smaller in scope, and consists of office space on both floors with uncovered surface parking. The old building design had covered parking and storage on the first floor, and office space and a large open landscaped courtyard on the second floor. Also, lot coverage for the old project was nearly 100% whereas the new building has lot coverage of 31%.

The project is designed to comply with the 35-foot height limit of the C-3 zone. As proposed, the building is 33.2 feet in height at the highest point.

Pursuant to Chapter 17.44 of the Zoning Ordinance, general office uses require one parking space for every 250 square feet of gross floor area. Adequate parking is provided, including 2 handicapped spaces, with 12 spaces accessed from a driveway on Pacific Coast Highway and 8 spaces accessed from the rear alley. Staff does not believe that the new parking layout is the best in terms of urban design and nuisance control. In the old design all of the parking was covered and the main access was from a driveway on Pacific Coast Highway. The newly proposed parking spaces having access off the rear alley are uncovered and can only be accessed from the alley. Thus the new plan causes more alley traffic than the old plan and the old plan had partial wall coverage between the parking and the residential uses adjacent to the alley on the westerly side. As a partial mitigating measure, staff proposes that a trellis and vine pocket planters be installed to help screen the rear parking.

There is much more landscaping provided in the new design as compared to the old design. Landscaping is provided in planters that surround the front parking area. Staff recommends that the planters be bermed in order to better screen the parking from the street. The proposed landscaping includes 17 15-gallon queen palm trees, but staff believes that 24-inch box trees should be the size minimum for the project. Also the plans do not note an irrigation system. These items have been included as conditions of approval on the attached resolution.

CONCUR:	Scott Lunceford Planning Assistant	
Sol Blumenfeld, Director		
Community Development Department		

## Attachments

- 1. Resolution
- 2. Location Map
- 3. Photographs

#### P.C. RESOLUTION NO. 02-

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A PRECISE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT FOR A TWO-STORY 5,000 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL OFFICE BUILDING AT 2697-2699 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY, AND LEGALLY DESCRIBED AS PART OF LOT A, TRACT 1594

The Planning Commission of the City of Hermosa Beach does hereby resolve and order as follows:

- <u>Section 1.</u> An application was filed by Michael Wuerth owner of property at 2697-2699 Pacific Coast Highway seeking approval of a Precise Development Plan Amendment to construct a two-story commercial office building.
- <u>Section 2.</u> The Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the application for a Precise Development Plan on September 17, 2002, at which testimony and evidence, both written and oral, was presented to and considered by the Planning Commission.
- <u>Section 3.</u> Based on evidence received at the public hearing, the Planning Commission makes the following factual findings:
- 1. The applicant is proposing to construct a two-story commercial office building containing 5,000 square feet of office and storage space, which requires a Precise Development Plan pursuant to Chapter 17.58 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. The subject site is located on the west side of Pacific Coast Highway, north of Artesia/Gould Avenue. The property contains an existing one-story automobile repair shop and is mainly surrounded by other commercial uses and commercially zoned property, except for single-family residential uses to the west separated from the subject property by an alley.
- <u>Section 4.</u> Based on the foregoing factual findings, the Planning Commission makes the following findings pertaining to the application for a Precise Development Plan Amendment.
- 1. The project is consistent with applicable general and specific plans, and is in compliance with the use and development requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. The site is zoned C-3, General Commercial, and the project and proposed use complies with the development standards contained therein.
- 3. The project has been reviewed pursuant to Chapter 17.58, Precise Development Plans and is consistent with the standards and review criteria contained therein.
- 4. Compliance with the Conditions of Approval will mitigate any negative impact resulting from the issuance of the Precise Development Plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

2.6

27

2.8

29

requirements of the Municipal Code.

Section 6. This grant shall not be effective for any purposes until the permittee and the owners of the property involved have filed at the office of the Planning Division of the Community Development Department their affidavits stating that they are aware of, and agree to accept, all of the conditions of this grant.

The Precise Development Plan shall be recorded, and proof of recordation shall be submitted to the Community Development Department.

Each of the above conditions is separately enforced, and if one of the conditions of approval is found to be invalid by a court of law, all the other conditions shall remain valid and enforceable.

Permittee shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City, it agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the City or its agents, officers, or employee to attack, set aside, void or annul this permit approval, which action is brought within the applicable time period of the State Government Code. The City shall promptly notify the permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and the City shall cooperate fully in the defense. If the City fails to promptly notify the permittee of any claim, action or proceeding, or if the City fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the permittee shall no thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the City.

The permittee shall reimburse the City for any court and attorney's fees which the City may be required to pay as a result of any claim or action brought against the City because of this grant. Although the permittee is the real party in interest in an action, the City may, at its sole discretion, participate at its own expense in the defense of the action, but such participation shall not relieve the permittee of any obligation under this condition.

The subject property shall be developed, maintained and operated in full compliance with the conditions of this grant and any law, statute, ordinance or other regulation applicable to any development or activity on the subject property. Failure of the permittee to cease any development or activity not in full compliance shall be a violation of these conditions.

The Planning Commission may review this Precise Development Plan and may amend the subject conditions or impose any new conditions if deemed necessary to mitigate detrimental effects on the neighborhood resulting from the subject use.

Section 7. Pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6 any legal challenge to the decision of the Planning Commission, after a formal appeal to the City Council, must be made within 90 days after the final decision by the City Council.

VOTE: **AYES:** 

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

26

2.7

28

29

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution P.C. 02- is a true and complete record of the action taken by the Planning Commission of the City of Hermosa Beach, California at their regular meeting of September 17, 2002.

Ron Pizer, Chairman	Sol Blumenfeld, Secretary
 Date	Pdpr02-13