October 3, 2002

## Honorable Chairman and Members of the Hermosa Beach Planning Commission

Regular Meeting of October 15, 2002

SUBJECT: NONCONFORMING REMODEL 02-10

LOCATION: 924 BAYVIEW DRIVE

APPLICANT: SUSAN SCOTT 924 BAYVIEW DRIVE HERMOSA BEACH

REQUESTS: ADDITION AND REMODEL TO AN EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING RESULTING IN A GREATER THAN 50% INCREASE IN VALUATION.

## **Recommendation**

ъ

пі

To approve the expansion and remodel subject to the conditions in the attached resolution.

Background				
LOT SIZE:	1,250 square feet (25'x50')			
EXISTING FLOOR AREA:	1,238 square feet			
PROPOSED ADDITION:	490 square feet			
PERCENT INCREASE IN VALUATION:	84%			
ZONING:	R-3			
EXISTING PARKING:	2-car garage			
PROPOSED PARKING:	No Change			
GENERAL PLAN:	High Density Residential			
ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION:	Categorically Exempt			

The subject lot is a "half-lot" which fronts on the alley (Bayview Drive). The existing building containing two stories and a roof deck was initially constructed in 1936

The building is currently nonconforming to lot coverage (66.8% rather than the maximum 65%) and open space requirements. The proposed project will bring open space into compliance by providing a 300 square foot deck on the third level.

## **Analysis**

Chapter 17.52 of the Zoning Ordinance requires Planning Commission approval when an expansion/remodel of a nonconforming building exceeds 50%. The applicant is proposing to remodel

and expand the building by adding a third level which will be the new second floor of the building, as the applicant proposes to modify the grade around the perimeter of the building. The grade will be raise d to make the ground floor qualify as a basement pursuant to the Building Code. The project includes a complete remodel of the interior, including relocation of interior stairs. The expansion will increase the house from 1,238 to 1,728 square feet. The expansion and remodel taken together results in a 84 increase in valuation, and can be accomplished by removing less than 30% of existing exterior walls.

The proposal generally conforms to planning and zoning requirements, as adequate open space is provided in the new second story deck adjacent to the living and kitchen areas. The building with the proposed addition will comply with all yard requirements and the maximum height limit at the critical point.

While the nonconforming lot coverage will be maintained, this nonconforming condition is not severe or out of character with the neighborhood. The proposal is reasonable in scope, and would not seem to warrant correcting this nonconformity.

CONCUR:

Ken Robertson Associate Planner

Sol Blumenfeld, Director Community Development Department

Attachments 1. Proposed Resolution 2. Location Map 3. Photographs/Zoning check list/Nonconforming worksheet/Height calculations

nr2411ongfellow





924 Bayview



1	<b>RESOLUTION NO. 02-</b>	
2	A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE	
3	CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A GREATER THAN 50% EXPANSION AND REMODEL TO AN	
4	EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING WHILE	
5	MAINTAINING NONCONFORMING LOT COVERAGE, AT 924 BAYVIEW DRIVE.	
6	The Dianning Commission of the City of Harmose Pasch does hereby resolve and order as	
7 8	The Planning Commission of the City of Hermosa Beach does hereby resolve and order as follows:	
9	Section 1. An application was filed by Susan Scott, owner of property located at 924 Bayview	
10	Drive, requesting a greater than 50% expansion and remodel to an existing nonconforming single famil dwelling in order to expand and remodel, pursuant to Chapter 17.52 of the Zoning Ordinance	
11	Section 2. The Planning Commission conducted a hearing to consider the application on	
12	October 15, 2002, at which testimony and evidence, both written and oral, were presented to and considered by the Planning Commission.	
13		
14 15	<u>Section 3</u> Based on the evidence received at the public hearing, the Planning Commission makes the following factual findings:	
16	1. The applicant is proposing a 490 square foot expansion to a single family dwelling, while	
17	maintaining non-conforming lot coverage;	
18 19	Section 4. Based on the foregoing factual findings, the Planning Commission makes the following findings:	
20	1. The nonconforming lot coverage is not unusual or severe;	
21	2. The scale of the proposed expansion is reasonable, and is consistent with planning and zoning	
22	requirements for the R-3 zone and does not warrant requiring the nonconforming condition to be brought into conformance;	
23	3. Approval of the expansion/remodel is consistent with the intent and goals of Chapter 17.52 of the Zoning Ordinance.	
24	4. The project is Categorically Exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental	
25	Quality Act, pursuant to CEQA guidelines, Section 15301 e (2) with the finding that the project is in an area with available services and not in an environmentally sensitive area.	
26	Section 5. Based on the foregoing, the Planning Commission hereby approves a greater than	
27	50% expansion, subject to the following <b>Conditions of Approval:</b>	
28	1. The project shall be consistent with submitted plans. Modifications to the plan which	
29	do not involve any further expansion shall be reviewed and may be approved by the Community Development Director.	
	1	

1 2 3	2.	Prior to issuance of building permits for demolition and construction, a structural engineer shall provide a certified report on the structural integrity of the walls to be retained with a structural inspection approved by the Community Development Director. Details shall be incorporated into the construction drawings.
4	3.	Upon issuance of building permits the project shall proceed in compliance with the scope of work outlined on the plans and any further demolition or construction contrary to said plans will result in project delays in order for the City to review project modifications, and may require new plan submittals and Planning Commission review
6 7 8	to proceed with construction work. <u>Section 6.</u> Pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedures Section 1094.6, any legal challenge to the decision of the Planning Commission, after a formal appeal to the City Council, must be made within 90 days after the final decision by the City Council.	
9 10 11		AYES: NOES: ABSENT:
12 13 14 15	ABSTAIN: CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution P.C. 02- is a true and complete record of the action taken by the Planning Commission of the City of Hermosa Beach, California at their regular meeting of	
16 17 18	Ron	October 15, 2002.   Pizer, Chairman   Sol Blumenfeld, Secretary
19 20 21	Date	Bayview
22 23		
24 25		
26 27		
28 29		
		2