Honorable Chairman and Members of the Hermosa Beach Planning Commission

Regular Meeting of December 5, 2002

CONTINUED FROM THE MEETING OF NOVEMBER 19

SUBJECT: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 02-9

PRECISE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 02-17

LOCATION: 155 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY

APPLICANT: ERWIN BUCY, REGENCY CENTERS

555 FLOWER STREET, SUITE 3500

LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

REQUEST: DEVELOPMENT OF A ONE-STORY, 13,212 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL

BUILDING FOR A DRUG STORE, INCLUDING A DRIVE-THROUGH LANE

FOR PURCHASE AND PICK-UP OF PHARMACY PRODUCTS

Recommendation

To approve the requested Precise Development Plan and Conditional Use Permit subject to the conditions as contained in the attached Resolution.

ALTERNATIVE:

To deny the project by adoption of the attached alternative resolution.

Background / Analysis

The Planning Commission continued the hearing on the project and requested the applicant to enhance the exterior appearance of the building and to further explore providing driveway access from Pacific Coast Highway.

The applicant has submitted revised elevations, which now include a clay tile roof element at the entry and over the drive-through canopy. Additional relief is also provided with a split-face masonry wainscoat. The site plan also depicts an 8-foot wall height along the westerly property line and around the truck loading area for screening purposes, elimination of the seasonal storage trailer, additional landscaping, and relocated trash enclosure.

The applicant traffic engineer has provided a supplemental evaluation of the option of providing direct access to P.C.H. listing benefits and disbenefits. He concludes that the benefit of reducing local traffic on First Place and Second Street is minimal, and the potential safety and design problems of adding this access would be substantial. Further, he indicates CalTrans may not allow such access because there is no access currently, and a new curb cut will impact safety, and speed of traffic on P.C.H.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Based on the previous analysis and direction from the Commission the following is a summary of recommended Conditions of Approval in addition to standard conditions:

□ Store hours limited to between 7:00 A.M. and 11:00 P.M.

Sol	Sol Blumenfeld, Director		
Co	ncur:		
Ca	nour:		
	Associate Planner		
	Ken Robertson		
_	Decorative purer stories in anti-civajs		
	plan subject to approval of the Community Development Director. Decorative paver stones in driveways		
	on the revised site plan; minimum 3-foot high landscaping along P.C.H. frontage; final landscape		
	Supplemental landscaping in the entry area and in front of the building at drive-thorough as shown		
	including best management practices for stormwater runoff and off-site improvements.		
	All requirements indicated in the attached memorandum from the Public Works Department		
	Limit free-standing sign to a 10-foot high monument sign per revised sign plan. Limit 1 st and 2 nd Street elevation signs to business name only.		
	ownership. Limit fine standing sign to a 10 feet high manufacturing per revised sign plan. Limit 1st and 2nd		
	The properties comprising the subject lot shall be merged into one contiguous property under one		
	Post parking lot for customer/employee parking, and secure parking lot after closing.		
	Westerly parking spaces designated for employee parking.		
	Eight foot high wall along westerly property line and around truck loading area.		
	property, and shielded by the property line walls or the building. No seasonal storage trailers.		
	Provision of on-site lighting with light and glare directed downward or away from residential		
	residential property.		
	Relocated trash dumpster and transformer as shown on the revised site plan away from adjacent		
_	lanes.		
	Drive-through lane limited for pharmacy products only. No amplified equipment in drive-through		
	Deliveries limited to between 8:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M. Monday through Friday, 9:00 – 6:00 on Saturdays and Sundays. Delivery trucks shall not idle engines during loading/unloading.		
	D.1' ' 1' ' 1, 1		

Attachments

- 1. Proposed Resolutions
- 2. Feasibility study for PCH driveway access
- 3. Revised Site Plan and Elevations

Community Development Department

4. Previous staff report w/attachments and plans

-

P.C. RESOLUTION 02-

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE **PERMIT AND PRECISE DEVELOPMENT PLAN** TO DEVELOPMENT OF A ONE-STORY, 13,212 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL BUILDING FOR A DRUG STORE AND MARKET, AND A DRIVE-THROUGH LANE FOR PURCHASE AND PICK-UP OF PHARMACY PRODUCTS AND ADOPTION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL NEGATIVE DECLARATION \mathbf{AT} 155 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY LEGALLY DESCRIBED AS PORTIONS OF LOTS 90-95 INLCUSIVE AND LOTS 96, 97, 98, 118, AND 119 WALTER RANSOM CO'S VENABLE PLACE

The Planning Commission of the City of Hermosa Beach does hereby resolve and order as follows:

<u>Section 1.</u> An application was filed by Regency Centers, part owner and part lessee of property comprising the project site at 155 and 159 Pacific Coast Highway seeking approval of a Precise Development Plan to construct a 13,212 Square foot commercial building for a drugstore and market and a Conditional Use Permit for a drive-through pharmacy.

<u>Section 2.</u> The Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the application for a Precise Development Plan, and Conditional Use on November 19, and December 4, 2002, and considered testimony and evidence. Based on the testimony and evidence received the Planning Commission makes the following factual findings:

- 1. The applicant is proposing to construct a one-story commercial building for a drugstore and market containing 13,212 square feet with a double drive-through lane for pharmacy drop off and pick up, which requires a Precise Development Plan pursuant to Chapter 17.58 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 17.26.040 regarding similar uses, the City Council previously determined that a drive-through teller window may be permitted in conjunction with a bank with the approval of a Conditional Use Permit. The proposed drive-through for a pharmacy is very similar to the bank and therefore also may be permitted with a Conditional Use Permit.
- 3. The subject site is located on the West Side of P.C.H. between First Place and Second Street. The property contains an auto dealership and repair business and one nonconforming residential building. The proposed project involves the demolition of all existing structures and the construction of a new one-story commercial building and surface parking lot.
- <u>Section 3</u>. Based on the foregoing factual findings the Planning Commission makes the following findings pertaining to the application for a Precise Development Plan and Conditional Use Permit:

11

12

13

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24 25

26 27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.8

- i. The proposed use will not result in an adverse impact on the City's infrastructure and/or services.
- 5. The criteria of Hermosa Beach Municipal Code Section 17.38.330(D) for denial of a Precise Development Plan are not applicable. In making this finding, the Planning Commission has determined that:
 - a. The project will not substantially depreciate property values in the vicinity, or interfere with the use or enjoyment of property in such area, because of excessive dissimilarity or inappropriateness of design in relation to the surrounding vicinity.
 - a. The project will not have significant environmental adverse impacts

Section 4. Environmental Review.

- 1. Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and the City's local CEQA Guidelines, the Staff Environmental Review Committee prepared an Initial Study of the potential environmental effects of the proposed project. Based upon the Initial Study, the Committee determined that there was no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the City, that the project would have a significant effect on the environment. City staff thereafter prepared a Negative Declaration for the project and duly provided public notice of the public comment period and of the intent to adopt the Negative Declaration. A copy of the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration are attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. The Planning Commission has reviewed the Negative Declaration and all comments received regarding the Negative Declaration. Based on the whole record, the Planning Commission finds that: (i) the Negative Declaration was prepared in compliance with CEQA; and (ii) there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment. Based on these findings, the Planning Commission hereby adopts the Negative Declaration prepared for the proposed project.
- <u>Section 5.</u> Based on the foregoing, the Planning Commission hereby approves the subject Precise Development Plan and Conditional Use Permit subject to the following **Conditions of Approval**:
- 1. The development and continued use of the property shall be in conformance with submitted plans reviewed by the Planning Commission at their meeting of November December 4, 2002, incorporating all revisions as submitted by the applicant, and as required by the conditions below.
- 2. The Precise Development Plan, and Conditional Use Permit shall only be in effect after the lots comprising the project site are merged in accordance with Section 16.20.110 of the Subdivision Ordinance.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 8. Architectural treatment of the building shall be as shown on building elevations and site and floor plans. Any modification shall require approval by the Community Development
- 9. A revised sign plan shall be submitted for review and approval by the Community **Development Director incorporating the following revisions:**
 - a. One free-standing sign is permitted, and shall be limited to a monument sign with a maximum height of ten (10) feet, which shall be located in a landscaped planter.
 - b. The north and south elevations of the building shall be limited to one business name sign, located as far east on the south side of the building as feasible. Accessory wall

1 2 3 4 5	21. Permittee shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City, it agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the City or its agents, officers, or employee to attack, set aside, void or annul this permit approval, which action is brought within the applicable time period of the State Government Code. The City shall promptly notify the permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and the City shall cooperate fully in the defense. If the City fails to promptly notify the permittee of any claim, action or proceeding, or if the City fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the permittee shall no thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the City.
7 8 9	22. The permittee shall reimburse the City for any court and attorney's fees which the City may be required to pay as a result of any claim or action brought against the City because of this grant. Although the permittee is the real party in interest in an action, the City may, at its sole discretion, participate at its own expense in the defense of the action, but such participation shall not relieve the permittee of any obligation under this condition.
11 12 13	23. The subject property shall be developed, maintained and operated in full compliance with the conditions of this grant and any law, statute, ordinance or other regulation applicable to any development or activity on the subject property. Failure of the permittee to cease any development or activity not in full compliance shall be a violation of these conditions.
14 15 16	Section 6. This grant shall not be effective for any purposes until the permittee and the owners of the property involved have filed at the office of the Planning Division of the Community Development Department their affidavits stating that they are aware of, and agree to accept, all of the conditions of this grant.
17 18 19	Section 7. Pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6, any legal challenge to the decision of the Planning Commission, after a formal appeal to the City Council, must be made within 90 days after the final decision by the City Council.
20	VOTE: AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN:
22	ABSENT:
23	CERTIFICATION I hereby certify the foregoing Resolution P.C. No. 02- is a true and complete record of the action taken by the Planning Commission of the City of Harmoss Boach, California at their regular meeting of
25	taken by the Planning Commission of the City of Hermosa Beach, California at their regular meeting of December 4, 2002.
26 27	
28	Ron Pizer, Chairman Sol Blumenfeld, Secretary
29	Date