Honorable Mayor and Members of the Hermosa Beach City Council

Regular Meeting of October 28, 2003

# PROJECT NO. CIP 02-185 STREET IMPROVEMENTS – 5<sup>™</sup> STREET FROM ARDMORE AVENUE TO PROSPECT AVENUE - REJECT ALL BIDS AND AUTHORIZE RE-ADVERTISE FOR BIDS

## Recommendation:

It is recommended that the City Council:

- 1. Reject all bids for Project No. CIP 02-185 Street Improvements 5<sup>th</sup> Street from Ardmore Avenue to Prospect Avenue;
- 2. Authorize Staff to re-advertise for bids and invite all previous participating bidders to re-bid for the project.

## Background:

On August 12, 2003 City Council approved the construction documents and authorized Staff to advertise for bids for CIP Project No. 02-185 Street Improvements – 5<sup>th</sup> Street from Ardmore Avenue to Prospect Avenue. The general scope of work consisted of cold milling existing concrete pavement and capping with asphaltic concrete, removal of deteriorated curb, construction of curb and gutter sidewalk, curb access ramps, reconstruction of an alley intersection and a cross gutter, replacement of traffic markings and detector loops, and the removal and replacement of concrete pavement at various locations. Bids were received and publicly opened on October 9, 2003.

The decision to reject all bids is based on the non-responsiveness of three (3) of the seven (7) bidders to Bid Item No. 1 - Mobilization. The Specifications state that the maximum price for this item shall not exceed five (5) percent of the total contract price at the time of award which was exceeded as shown in the table below:

BIDDER	BID AMOUNT	PRICE FOR BID ITEM NO. 1	PERCENT OF TOTAL CONTRACT PRICE
1. Sequel Contractors	\$181,350.00	\$15,000	8.27%
2. Alliance Streetworks	\$182,973.00	\$13,023	7.12%
3. Shawnan	\$190,288.00	\$9,500	4.99%
4. Pima Corporation	\$190,920.00	\$20,000	10.48%
5. All American Asphalt	\$194,097.00	\$7,270	3.75%
6. Orion Contracting	\$197,450.00	\$9,000	4.56%
7. Excel Paving	\$214,460.00	\$9,000	4.20%

## Analysis:

Based on the above irregularities in conjunction with the recommendation of the City Attorney, Staff recommends that all bids be rejected and that Staff be authorized to re-advertise for bids. Staff also recommends that Staff be authorized to contact all previous participating bidders and invite them to re-bid on the project. The invitation to re-bid shall also include an emphasis on the requirements of Bid Item No. 1 – Mobilization.

#### Fiscal Impact:

None at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Concur:

Frank J. Senteno Assistant Engineer Richard D. Morgan, P.E. Director of Public Works/City Engineer

Noted For Fiscal Impact:

Viki Copeland Finance Director Stephen R. Burrell City Manager

F:\B95\PWFILES\CCITEMS\02-185 Reject all bids 10-28-03.doc